

PRE-VECTOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

LAI-JIU LIN

Existence theorems for pre-vector variational inequalities are established under different conditions on the operator T and the function η . As an application, we establish the existence of a weak minimum of an optimisation problem on η -invex functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let X, Z be Banach spaces, (Y, D) be an ordered Banach spaces, ordered by a closed convex cone D . Let $L(X, Y)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y , $E \subseteq X$ and $C \subseteq Z$ be nonempty sets, $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a function, $V : E \rightarrow 2^C$ and $G : E \rightarrow 2^E$ be set-valued maps. We consider the following three problems:

PRE-VVIP. Find $\bar{x} \in E$ such that

$$\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(y, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in E,$$

where T is a map from E to $L(X, Y)$.

PRE-QVVIP. Find $\bar{x} \in E$, $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta(y, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in G(\bar{x}),$$

where H is a map from $E \times C$ to $L(X, Y)$.

The Pre-VVIP has some relation with vector optimisation problems of η -invex function.

$$(P) \quad V\text{-min } f(x) \text{ subject to } x \in E,$$

where $f : E \rightarrow Y$ is a η -invex function [8].

It is easy to see that if $\bar{x} \in E$, and $T(\bar{x})$ is the Fréchet derivative of f at \bar{x} , and if \bar{x} is a solution of Pre-VVIP, then \bar{x} is a weak-minimum of (P).

Received 16th March, 1995.

This research was supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China. I thank the referees for many useful suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/96 \$A2.00+0.00.

Hence sufficient conditions for the existence theorem of Pre-VVIP are also sufficient conditions for the existence of the weak minimum of (P). Therefore the study of Pre-VVIP is important in research concerning vector optimisation problems of η -invex functions.

In [7], F.Giannessi first introduced vector variational inequalities in a finite dimensional Euclidean space. Since then, many results have been obtained on the vector-variational inequality and vector complementary problems [2, 3, 4, 13]. In [2, 3, 13], Cheng, Yang and Cheng, considered the case $\eta(y, x) = y - x$ in Pre-VIIP and Pre-QVVIP. In [11], Parida, Sahoo and Kumar considered the case $Y = R$, $D = R_+$ and $X = R^n$ in Pre-VVIP. If $X = R^n$, $Y = R$, $D = R_+$, $\eta(y, x) = y - x$, then Pre-VVIP reduces to the well-known Hartman and Stampacchia variational inequality problem [9]. If $X = R^n$, $Z = R^m$, $Y = R$, $D = R_+$, $G(x) = E$ for all $x \in E$, then the Pre-Quasi VVIP reduces to the problem studied by Parida and Sen [10].

In this paper, we investigate existence theorems for Pre-VVIP, Pre-QVVIP and as a consequence of our results, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence theorem of a weak minima [3] of the problem (P).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Through this paper, let D^* be the polar cone of D . Let $x, y \in Y$. We denote $x \leq y$ if $y - x \in D$ and $x \not\leq y$ if $y - x \notin \text{int}D$. If D is a pointed, closed, convex cone and D induces a partial order in Y , then (Y, D) is called an ordered topological vector space.

DEFINITION 1: Let $T : X \rightarrow L(X, Y)$, $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow X$. Then T is said to be η -monotone if $\langle T(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle - \langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$.

DEFINITION 2: [8] Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be Fréchet differentiable on X . Then f is said to be η -invex on X if there exists a function $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$f(y) - f(x) \geq \langle Df(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle,$$

where $Df(x)$ is the Fréchet derivative of f at x .

DEFINITION 3: Let $T : E \subseteq X \rightarrow L(X, Y)$. Then T is said to be pre- v -hemicontinuous if for all $x, y \in E$, the map $t \rightarrow \langle T(x + t(y - x)), \eta(y, x) \rangle$ is continuous at $t = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

LEMMA 1. Let $E \subseteq X$ be a non-empty convex subset and $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a map with $\eta(x, x) = 0$, for all $x \in E$. Suppose that $T : E \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is η -monotone

and pre- v -hemicontinuous and the map $\langle T(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is convex with respect to $u \in E$. Then the following two problems are equivalent.

- (a) Find $x \in E$ such that $\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$.
 (b) Find $x \in E$ such that $\langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$.

PROOF: (a) That implies (b) follows immediately from the η -monotonicity of T . Conversely, if (b) holds for each $x \in E$, then

$$(1) \quad \langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, \eta(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, x)) \rangle \not\leq 0, \text{ for all } y \in E.$$

Since $\langle T(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is convex with respect to u and $\eta(x, x) = 0$, it follows that

$$(2) \quad \langle T(x + \lambda(y - x), \eta(x + \lambda(y - x), x)) \rangle \leq \lambda \langle T(x + \lambda(y - x), \eta(y, x)) \rangle \text{ for all } 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

(1) and (2) imply

$$(3) \quad \langle T(x + \lambda(y - x), \eta(y, x)) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

Since T is pre- v -hemicontinuous, it follows from (3) that

$$\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in E.$$

Hence (a) is true. □

THEOREM 1. Let $\text{int}D \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{int}D^* \neq \emptyset$. Let E be a nonempty, compact convex set in X , $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a map, $\eta(x, x) = 0$, for all $x \in E$. Suppose $T : E \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is η -monotone, pre- v -hemicontinuous and $\langle T(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is convex with respect to u , and for each fixed $y \in E$, $\eta(y, x)$ is continuous with respect to x on E . Then there exists $\bar{x} \in E$ such that

$$\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

PROOF: For each fixed $y \in E$, let $F_1(y) = \{x \in E \mid \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0\}$. Then $F_1 : E \rightarrow 2^E$. We prove that F_1 is a KKM map [12]. If this is not the case, there exists a finite set $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq E$ such that $\text{cov}A \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_1(x_i)$, where $\text{cov}A$ denotes the convex hull of A . Hence there exist $\alpha_i \geq 0$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$ such that $x \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_1(x_i)$. Then $x \notin F_1(x_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence $\langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle < 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\eta(x, x) = 0$ and $T(x) \in L(X, Y)$, it follows that

$$0 = \langle T(x), \eta(x, x) \rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle T(x), \eta(x_i, x) \rangle < 0.$$

This leads to a contradiction. Hence F_1 is a KKM map.

Let $F_2(y) = \{x \in E \mid \langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0\}$.

Since T is η -monotone, it is easy to see that F_2 is also a KKM map on E . By Lemma 1

$$\bigcap_{y \in E} F_1(y) = \bigcap_{y \in E} F_2(y).$$

Since for each fixed $y \in E$, we have $T(y) \in L(X, Y)$ and $\eta(y, x)$ is continuous with respect to $x \in E$ and $Y \setminus (-\text{int } D)$ is closed, it follows that $F_2(y)$ is a compact subset in E . By the F-KKM theorem [5].

$$\bigcap_{y \in E} F_1(y) = \bigcap_{y \in E} F_2(y) \neq \phi.$$

Hence there exists $\bar{x} \in E$ such that

$$\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in E.$$

□

LEMMA 2. Let $E \subseteq X$ be a nonempty convex set and $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a map with $\eta(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$. Suppose $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) : E \rightarrow L(X, R^n)$ is η -monotone and pre- v -hemicontinuous. Suppose further that for fixed $x, y \in E$ and for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, the map $\langle T_i(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is strongly quasiconvex with respect to $u \in E$ and R^n is ordered by $R_+^n = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following two problems are equivalent.

- (a) Find $x \in E$ such that $\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$.
- (b) Find $x \in E$ such that $\langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$.

PROOF: That (a) \Rightarrow (b) is the same as Lemma 1. Conversely, suppose (b) holds. Then there exists $x \in E$ such that $\langle T(y), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$. Let $y \in E$, $y \neq x$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $\langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, x) \rangle \not\leq 0$. Hence there exists $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since $\langle T_i(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is strongly quasiconvex with respect to $u \in E$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, x) \rangle \\ &< \max\{\langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(y, x) \rangle, \langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(x, x) \rangle\} \\ &= \max\{\langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x), \eta(y, x) \rangle, 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle T_i(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, \eta(y, x)) \rangle > 0$, and $\langle T(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x, \eta(y, x)) \rangle \not\leq 0$. Then following the same argument as Lemma 1, we can show that

$$\langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in E. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2. Let $E \subseteq X$ be a nonempty convex set in E , $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a function, and for each fixed $y \in E$, let the map $\eta(y, x)$ be a continuous function of x on E which, $\eta(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$. Suppose that $T = (T_1, \dots, T_n) : E \rightarrow L(X, R^n)$ is η -monotone and pre- v -hemicontinuous. For fixed $x, y \in E$ and for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, suppose $\langle T_i(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is strongly quasiconvex with respect to u . Suppose further that there exists a compact convex subset K of E such that for each $y \in E \setminus K$ there exists $x \in K$ with $\langle T(y), \eta(x, y) \rangle < 0$. Then there exists a $\bar{x} \in K$ such that $\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $x \in E$.

By Lemma 2 and with the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that for every compact set $M \subseteq E$ there exists an $\bar{x} \in M$ such that $\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $x \in M$. For each $y \in E$, let

$$K(y) = \{x \in K, \langle T(x), \eta(y, x) \rangle \not\leq 0\}.$$

Since $T : E \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is continuous and $Y \setminus \text{int}D$ is a closed set, it follows that the set $K(y)$ is closed in K and hence compact. Let $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subseteq E$ and let $A = \text{cov}[K \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}]$. Thus A is a compact and convex set in E , so there exists an $\bar{x} \in E$ such that

$$\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(y, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } y \in A.$$

Now $\bar{x} \in K$, for otherwise, there exists a $y \in K$ such that $\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(y, \bar{x}) \rangle < 0$, which contradicts (4). Since $\langle T(\bar{x}), \eta(y, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $x \in A$, it follows that $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m K(y_i)$. Thus the family of closed subsets $\{K(y) : y \in E\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since K is compact, it follows that $\bigcap_{y \in E} K(y) \neq \emptyset$. So there exists an $x_0 \in K(y)$ for all $y \in E$. Therefore there exists a $x_0 \in K$ such that $\langle T(x_0), \eta(y, x_0) \rangle \not\leq 0$ for all $y \in E$.

LEMMA 3. [1] Let $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ and W be a real valued function defined on $X \times Y$, $V(x) = \sup_{y \in G(x)} W(x, y)$ and $M(x) = \{y \in G(x) \mid V(x) = W(x, y)\}$. Suppose that

- (a) W is continuous on $X \times Y$.
- (b) G is continuous [1] with compact values [1].

Then the set-valued map M is upper semi-continuous [1].

THEOREM 3. Let E be a nonempty compact convex set in X and C a compact convex set in Y . Let $V : E \rightarrow 2^C$ be upper semicontinuous, convex and closed valued and let $\phi : E \times C \times E \rightarrow R$ be continuous. Suppose that

- (a) $\phi(x, y, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$,

- (b) For each fixed $(x, y) \in E \times C$, $\phi(x, y, u)$ is quasiconvex with respect to $u \in E$.
- (c) $G : E \rightarrow 2^E$ is continuous with compact convex values.

Then there exists $\bar{x} \in G(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in G(\bar{x}).$$

PROOF: For each $(x, y) \in E \times Y$, let

$$\pi(x, y) = \{s \in G(x) \mid \phi(x, y, s) = \min_{u \in G(x)} \phi(x, y, u)\}.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3 that $\pi(x, y)$ is upper semicontinuous. Since $\phi(x, y, u)$ is quasiconvex with respect to u , it follows that $\pi(x, y)$ is a convex subset of E . The set-valued function $F : E \times C \rightarrow 2^E \times 2^C$ is defined by $F(x, y) = \{(\pi(x, y), V(x))\}$. Then F is nonempty, convex closed and upper semicontinuous. By the generalised Kakutani fixed point theorem [6], there exists $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in E \times C$ such that $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in F(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Hence there exist a $\bar{x} \in G(\bar{x})$ and a $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, x) \geq \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in G(\bar{x}). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4. Let E be a nonempty convex set in X and C a closed convex set in Y . Let $V : E \rightarrow 2^C$ be an upper semicontinuous closed and convex valued map and let $\phi : E \times C \times E \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function. Suppose that

- (a) $\phi(x, y, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in E$.
- (b) For each fixed $(x, y) \in E \times C$, $\phi(x, y, u)$ is quasiconvex with respect to $u \in E$.
- (c) There exists nonempty compact convex set $K \subseteq E$ such that for each $(x, y) \in E \times C$ with $x \notin K$, there exists $u \in K$ such that $\phi(x, y, u) < 0$.

Then there exist a $\bar{x} \in K$, and a $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, u) \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in E.$$

PROOF: Let M be a compact and convex subset of C . For each $u \in E$, let $K(u) = \{x \in K \mid \text{there exists } y \in V(x) \cap M \text{ such that } \phi(x, y, u) \geq 0\}$. It is easy to see that $K(u)$ is a closed subset of K . Let $u_1, \dots, u_m \in E$ and $W(x) = V(x) \cap M$ and $A = \text{conv}(K \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_m\})$. Then A is a compact and convex subset of E . By Theorem 3, there exist $x_0 \in A$, $y_0 \in W(x_0) = V(x_0) \cap M$ such that $\phi(x_0, y_0, u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in A$. By the assumption (c), we see that $x_0 \in K$ and $x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m K(u_i)$. Thus the collection $\{K(u) : u \in E\}$ of closed sets in K has the finite intersection property.

We have $\bigcap_{u \in E} K(u) \neq \phi$. Hence there exists $\bar{x} \in K(u)$ for all $u \in E$. This shows that there exist $\bar{x} \in K$ and $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x}) \cap M \subset V(\bar{x})$ such that $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, u) \geq 0$ for all $u \in E$. \square

THEOREM 5. Let E be a nonempty compact convex set in X and C be a closed convex set in Z . Let $V : E \rightarrow 2^C$ be an upper semicontinuous closed convex valued map, $H : E \times C \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ be continuous and $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be continuous functions. Suppose that

- (a) $\eta(x, x) = 0$.
- (b) There exists $0 \neq y^* \in D^*$ such that for each $(x, y) \in E \times C$, the function $\langle y^* \circ H(x, y), \eta(u, x) \rangle$ is quasiconvex with respect to $u \in E$.
- (c) $G : E \rightarrow 2^E$ is continuous with compact values.

Then there exist $\bar{x} \in G(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta(u, \bar{x}) \rangle \not\leq 0 \text{ for all } u \in G(\bar{x}).$$

PROOF: Let $\phi(x, y, u) = \langle y^* \circ H(x, y), \eta(u, x) \rangle$. Then the theorem follows from Theorem 3 and the assumption $0 \neq y^* \in D^*$. \square

COROLLARY 1. Let E be a nonempty compact convex set in R^n , and C be a nonempty convex set in R^m . Let $V : E \rightarrow 2^C$ be an upper semicontinuous, convex and closed valued map, let $H : E \times C \rightarrow R^n$ and $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be continuous functions. Suppose that

- (a) $\eta(x, x) = 0$.
- (b) For each $(x, y) \in E \times C$, the function $\langle H(x, y), \eta(u, x) \rangle$ is quasiconvex in u .
- (c) $G : E \rightarrow 2^E$ is continuous with compact values.

Then there exist $\bar{x} \in G(\bar{x})$, $\bar{y} \in V(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle H(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \eta(u, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in G(\bar{x}).$$

PROOF: If we let $X = R^n$, $Y = R$, $Z = R^m$, then $H : E \times C \rightarrow L(X, Y) = L(R^n, R) = R^n$ and the Corollary follows immediately from Theorem 5. \square

REMARK. If $G(x) = E$ for all $x \in E$, then Corollary 1 reduces to Theorem 2 [11].

THEOREM 6. Let E be a nonempty, convex set in X , $\text{int}D = \phi$ and $\text{int}D^* \neq \phi$. Let $\eta : E \times E \rightarrow E$ be a function, $\eta(x, x) = 0$, $\eta(x, y) = -\eta(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in E$ and for each fixed $y \in E$, let $\eta(y, x)$ be continuous with respect to $x \in E$. Suppose that $f : E \rightarrow Y$ is η -invex on E with $T(x)$ be the Fréchet derivative of f at x . Suppose that T is pre- v -hemicontinuous on E and $\langle T(x), \eta(u, y) \rangle$ is convex with respect to $u \in E$. Then there exists a $\bar{x} \in E$ such that \bar{x} is a weak minimum of problem (P).

PROOF: Let $x, y \in E$. Since f is η -invex in E it is easy to see that T is η -monotone. Then by Theorem 1 and the η -invexity of f , there exists $\bar{x} \in E$ such that \bar{x} is a weak minimum of (P). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G.P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusion* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1984), pp. 51–53.
- [2] G.Y. Chen, 'Existence of solutions for a vector variational inequality: An extension of the Hartmann-Stampacchia theorem', *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **74** (1992), 445–456.
- [3] G.Y. Chen and G.M. Chang, 'Vector variational inequality, and vector optimization problem', in *Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* **285** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987), pp. 408–416.
- [4] G.Y. Chen and X.Q. Yang, 'The vector complementary problem and its equivalence with the weak minimal element in ordered spaces', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **153** (1990), 136–158.
- [5] K. Fan, 'A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem', *Math. Ann.* **142** (1961), 305–310.
- [6] K. Fan, 'Fixed-pointed and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **38** (1952), 121–126.
- [7] F. Giannessi, 'Theorems of alternative, quadratic problems and complementary problems', in *Variational Inequalities and Complementary problems*, (R. W. Cottle, F. Giannessi and L. J. Lions, Editors) (Wiley, New York), pp. 151–186.
- [8] M.A. Hanson, 'On the sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **80** (1981), 545–550.
- [9] G.J. Hartman and G. Stampacchia, 'On some nonlinear differential functional equations', *Acta Math.* **115** (1966), 271–310.
- [10] J. Parida and A. Sen, 'A variational-like inequality for multifunctions with applications', *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **124** (1987), 73–81.
- [11] J. Parida, M. Sahoo and A. Kumar, 'A variational-like inequality problem', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **39** (1989), 225–231.
- [12] K.K. Tan, 'Comparison theorems on minimax inequalities, variational inequalities and fixed point theorems', *J. London Math. Soc.* **28** (1983), 555–562.
- [13] X.Q. Yang, 'Vector complementary and minimal element problems', *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **77** (1993), 483–495.

Department of Mathematics
National Changhua University of Education
Changhua 50058
Taiwan
Republic of China